Isolation drives taxonomic and functional nestedness in tropical reef fish faunas
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Taxonomic nestedness, the degree to which the taxonomic composition of species-poor assemblages represents a subset of richer sites, commonly occurs in habitat fragments and islands differing in size and isolation from a source pool. However, species are not ecologically equivalent and the extent to which nestedness is observed in terms of functional trait composition of assemblages still remains poorly known. Here, using an extensive database on the functional traits and the distributions of 6316 tropical reef fish species across 169 sites, we assessed the levels of taxonomical vs functional nestedness of reef fish assemblages at the global scale. Functional nestedness was considerably more common than taxonomical nestedness, and generally associated with geographical isolation, where nested subsets are gradually more isolated from surrounding reef areas and from the center of biodiversity. Because a nested pattern in functional composition implies that certain combinations of traits may be represented by few species, we identified these groups of low redundancy that include large herbivore-detritivores and omnivores, small piscivores, and macro-algal herbivores. The identified patterns of nestedness may be an outcome of the interaction between species dispersal capabilities, resource requirements, and gradients of isolation among habitats. The importance of isolation in generating the observed pattern of functional nestedness within biogeographic regions may indicate that disturbance in depauperate and isolated sites can have disproportionate effects on the functional structure of their reef fish assemblages.

Under a nested pattern, species assemblages with lower species richness represent proper subsets of the species composition that occurs in richer assemblages (Patterson and Atmar 1986, Almeida-Neto et al. 2008, Almeida-Neto and Ulrich 2011). A variety of processes, deterministic or stochastic, have been proposed to cause nested patterns of species assemblages (Ulrich et al. 2009). These processes include differential colonization and extinction driven by species-specific traits, such as dispersal ability (Patterson and Atmar 1986, 2000, Srinivasan et al. 2014) and habitat heterogeneity or quality associated with species varying in their degree of specialization (Wright and Reeves 1992). The level of nestedness regulates the extent to which large areas contain the diversity of species hosted in smaller areas and has implications in conservation science (Patterson and Atmar 1986, 2000, Ulrich et al. 2009).

Beyond the number of species, the breadth of functions performed by species is a biodiversity facet that is worth preserving within the context of the escalating threats to global ecosystems (Naeem et al. 2012). Indeed, functional diversity (FD), i.e. the diversity of ecological functions within a species assemblage, sustains ecosystem processes such as productivity, nutrient cycling and response to disturbances (Mouillot et al. 2011, Cardinale et al. 2012, Naeem et al. 2012). Functional redundancy, the number of species performing the same set of functions (Lawton and Brown 1994, Naeem 1998), is thus key to ensure long-term ecosystem functioning as well as the resilience of ecological processes since it buffers the loss of functions against the loss of species (Hooper et al. 2002, Bellwood et al. 2004).

The level of functional redundancy has been investigated within reef fish assemblages (Guillemot et al. 2011, Mouillot et al. 2014, Parravicini et al. 2014) but the level of spatial insurance among assemblages, i.e. the extent to which different assemblages host species supporting the same functional roles, is still unknown at large scales and in the marine realm.
(but see Parravicini et al. 2014). Functional nestedness can reveal the degree to which the functions present in a species-poor site are also present (and nested) in a species-rich site, and whether there is a core set of functions in species assemblages across a diversity gradient. When nestedness analysis takes into account species richness, it may reveal not only the functional composition but also patterns of functional redundancy in species assemblages. Thus, we define functional nestedness as the degree to which the set of functions present in a species-poor site are also present in richer sites, and represented by a greater number of species, revealing a gradient in functional redundancy (Fig. 1). Given the potential erosion of FD following the loss of species (Flynn et al. 2009, Mouillot et al. 2013a), the level of functional nestedness among assemblages, and its underlying patterns and processes, need further investigation.

Taxonomic nestedness tends to emerge in archipelagos or habitat patches through a combination of differences in island (or patch) sizes and degrees of isolation from a source pool (Lomolino 1996, 1999). However, we still lack predictions about the influence of such biogeographic factors on functional nestedness among assemblages. The identification of associations between nestedness and habitat variables (e.g. area, isolation, habitat type) can offer relevant perspectives on the interaction between traits and the environment, which in turn are important to conservation strategies and management efforts (Fleishman et al. 2002, Semmens et al. 2010, Novak et al. 2011, Wang et al. 2013). Here, we estimated the degree of nestedness among reef fish assemblages across the world’s tropical oceans to assess whether the taxonomic and functional compositions of depauperate assemblages represent subsets of richer ones.

We hypothesize that the degree of nestedness among reef fish assemblages is determined by biogeographical gradients of reef area and isolation (Fig. 2). The highest degree of nestedness is expected under large differences in area and an intermediate level of isolation among sites (Fig. 2A) since differences in area and isolation generate gradients in species richness (MacArthur and Wilson 1967, Patterson and Atmar 1986, Almeida-Neto and Ulrich 2011). For taxonomic nestedness, a low gradient of isolation among sites should generate non-nested homogeneous patterns, regardless of the range in area, since high connectivity among sites should maintain similarity among assemblages (Azeria 2004). At an intermediate isolation gradient we expect a more pronounced nested pattern for larger areas, since differences in area among sites would favour species richness gradients, and poor sites would be subsets of rich sites (Patterson and Atmar 1986, Almeida-Neto and Ulrich 2011). At the other extreme, a strong isolation gradient would shape a non-nested subset pattern of species composition regardless of the gradient in area (Fig. 2C) because isolated reef sites would tend to have dissimilar species compositions due to high speciation and extinction rates (Budd and Pandolfi 2010). For functional nestedness, these effects are predicted under a wider gradient of isolation, given that functional groups (FGs), i.e. unique combinations of species functional traits, may be represented by different species along biogeographical gradients. Two alternative hypotheses can thus be made about the effects of area and isolation on the functional nestedness of assemblages. First, functional nestedness patterns may follow those of taxonomic nestedness where species tend to occupy different FGs. In this case taxonomic nestedness would imply functional nestedness (Fig. 2D). However, several species could disproportionately accumulate into a small number of highly redundant FGs (Fig. 2B). In that case, functional nestedness may occur at both intermediate and high degrees of isolation. This is expected since taxonomic dissimilarity among sites does not necessarily imply functional dissimilarity even under high degrees of isolation (Fig. 2E).

Figure 1. An example illustrating functional nestedness, the core set of functional groups (FGs) in species assemblages and its relationship with functional redundancy. In (A), the network represents the occurrence (grey line) of FG across five sites. There are five FGs, each represented by a different colour. Species within each one of these groups are shown in different fish symbols, and there is a greater redundancy in FGs, decreasing from left to right. The functional composition of site 5 is nested (red FG) within site 4 (red, blue and green FGs), and so on. Functional nestedness can also be represented by a matrix (B) in which FGs are placed in columns, and sites, in rows. The colour gradient, from dark to light tones, shows decreasing species richness/decreasing redundancy of each FG. Those FGs that occur across all sites represent the functional core of species assemblages.
Specifically, we aim to investigate whether 1) reef fish assemblages are functionally or taxonomically nested across scales; 2) functional nestedness is driven by family-level taxonomic nestedness; 3) the degrees of nestedness are correlated with biogeographical factors, habitat area and isolation across two different spatial scales: biogeographic regions and provinces.

Methods

The database

Our database comprises estimates species richness of reef fishes at 169 sites distributed across six marine biogeographic regions (Kulbicki et al. 2013) (Fig. 3). Employing a cut-off at 17°C of minimum sea surface temperature, we limited our study to tropical reefs including both coral and rocky formations (Parravicini et al. 2013). In addition to reef fish species distributions, we compiled information detailing biological attributes for reef fish species, as well as environmental and geographic data for each site (Kulbicki et al. 2013, Parravicini et al. 2013).

Fish functional groups

Here, we refer to species ‘functional traits’ as those features that define ecological functions or the functional group the species pertains to. These traits have been previously applied in the reef fish ecology (Halpern and Floeter 2008, Guillemot et al. 2011, Stuart-Smith et al. 2013, Mouillot et al. 2014, Parravicini et al. 2014). We are aware of the extensive literature and debate on the use of such terminology (Díaz and Cabido 2001, Lavorel and Garnier 2002, Violle et al. 2007) and of the use of ‘trait’ to define functions of organisms measured at the individual level (McGill et al. 2006, Violle et al. 2007). Nevertheless, the functional traits considered are appropriate for the large spatial scale of our study and the high number of species. Moreover, these traits define the main aspects of fish ecology (Guillemot et al. 2011).

Reef fish species were classified into one of the maximum body size categories: 0–7 cm, 7.1–15 cm, 15.1–30 cm, 30.1–50 cm, 50.1–80 cm and > 80 cm. Species were also classified according to their diet based on the main items consumed by each species, which led to the following categories: herbivore-detritivores (i.e. fish feeding on turf or filamentous algae and/or undefined organic material), macro-algal herbivores...
Fish taxonomic groups

The taxonomic units considered in our analysis were reef fish families. The use of this taxonomic level is appropriate because it enables comparisons among reef fish assemblages across large biogeographic regions, since families tend to be more conservative (i.e. similar) across such regions when compared to reef fish genera for instance (Bellwood and Wainwright 2002, Floeter et al. 2008). The reef fish families considered herein comprise those referred to by Bellwood and Wainwright (2002) and Floeter et al. (2008), including the typical reef fish families Acanthuridae, Apogonidae, Blennidae, Carangidae, Chaetodontidae, Holocentridae, Labridae, Mullidae, Pomacentridae and Scaridae (Bellwood 1996), as well as other typical reef taxa that occur in certain areas (Chaenopsidae, Labrisomidae, Siganidae, etc.).

We counted the number of species in a given family occurring across all sites of provinces and biogeographic regions as defined by Kulbicki et al. (2013). The data was organized in quantitative matrices where rows denoted families and columns represented sites. Therefore each cell was filled with the number of species in a given family (rows) for a given site (columns). In our analysis, described below, we computed the family-level taxonomic nestedness, which we refer to simply as taxonomic nestedness throughout the manuscript.

Site attributes

For each site, we defined, according to maps and descriptions in the original publications, the area to which the site's species list pertains, thereby allowing the computation of environmental variables around each site (Parravicini et al. 2013). All information was converted to a global equal-area Behrmann projection before analyses. In studies of nestedness, isolation has been traditionally quantified as the distance from a source pool; yet it is important to consider the influence of connectivity among sites, which can also

(i.e. fish eating large fleshy algae and/or seagrass), invertivores targeting sessile invertebrates (i.e. corals, sponges, ascidians), invertivores targeting mobile invertebrates (i.e. benthic species such as crustaceans), planktivores (i.e. fish eating small organisms in the water column), piscivores (including fish and cephalopods) and omnivores, i.e. fish for which both vegetal and animal material are important in their diet.

The functional group of each reef fish species was described as a combination of maximum body size and diet categories. Such combinations of attributes have been previously employed to describe FGs of reef fish species (Halpern and Floeter 2008, Mouillot et al. 2013a). Fish species body size, for instance, is a proxy to other related features, including species geographic range size and pelagic larval duration (Luiz et al. 2012, 2013), reproductive output and growth rate (Munday and Jones 1998, Wong et al. 2007). Species trophic level is determined by the type and variety of food items consumed, which can provide information on specific habitat requirements (Berumen and Pratchett 2008, Burkepile and Hay 2008), dependence on other trophic levels and home range (see Supplementary material Appendix 1 for a complete justification of the chosen functional traits). We counted the number of species in each FG across all sites contained in provinces and biogeographic regions. Data was arranged into matrices with FGs as rows and sites as columns.

We also employed two additional functional group schemes (Mouillot et al. 2014) to assess the sensitivity of nestedness to more refined trait combinations, combining species body size, diet, home range and schooling behavior. These analyses revealed significant and greater than expected nested patterns for the functional composition of assemblages across all biogeographic regions (Supplementary material Appendix 2).

Figure 3. Map of 169 sites that correspond to reef fish assemblages distributed across six biogeographic regions. From left to right: Eastern Atlantic (light-blue inverted triangles), Western Indian (purple squares), Central Indo-Pacific (red diamonds), Central Pacific (orange circles), Tropical Eastern Pacific (yellow triangles), Western Atlantic (blue circles). Taxonomic nestedness (TN) and functional nestedness (FN) values for reef fish assemblages of each region are shown in graphs in the bottom. *Significantly nested structures. For a map of provinces embedded in regions see Supplementary material Appendix 3.
operate as a source of species. Here we made use of two complementary measures of isolation: the distance from the biodiversity centre, and the distances of each site to the 10 nearest reef habitat patches, a measure of connectivity (Parravicini et al. 2013). The distance from the diversity centre for each biogeographical realm was measured in degrees, and it corresponded to the Caribbean (474 species recorded in Cuba) (Briggs 2003, Floeter et al. 2008), for sites within the Atlantic, and to the Indo-Australian Archipelago (> 2000 species in the Philippines) (Carpenter and Springer 2005) for sites in the Indo-Pacific. Connectivity was calculated using a nearest neighbor approach (Moilanen and Nieminen 2002), which corresponded to the mean distance from the site to the 10 nearest patches of habitat (Parravicini et al. 2013). Coral reef area estimates were derived from the Coral Reef Millennium Census project (UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish Centre, WRI, TNC 2010), while the rocky reef layer was obtained from Halpern and Floeter (2008).

Data analysis

Nestedness analyses
Analyses were carried out at two spatial scales. The first and larger scale corresponded to six biogeographic regions defined for reef fish faunas (Kulbicki et al. 2013), namely: Eastern Atlantic, Western Atlantic, Tropical Eastern Pacific, Central Pacific, Central Indo-Pacific, and Western Indo-Pacific (Fig. 3). These regions were composed of a number of provinces, which in turn were constituted by a given number of sites (Fig. 3). The second set of analysis was conducted at the Province scale (Kulbicki et al. 2013), encompassing 14 provinces presenting specific characteristics of its associated reef fish fauna (see Supplementary material Appendix 3, for a complete list of provinces, their sites and local species richness).

We assessed the degree of nestedness of reef fish assemblages at both spatial scales to investigate whether the patterns were similar across regions and its constituent provinces. Within a biogeographic region, provinces might exhibit different degrees of nestedness, which could correspond to particular processes operating and structuring their reef fish assemblages. At both scales, we examined the nestedness degree of the taxonomic and functional structure of reef fish assemblages.

There are many indices available to measure nestedness using presence–absence data (Ulrich et al. 2009), and there are metrics designed to estimate nestedness for quantitative data (Galeano et al. 2009, Almeida-Neto and Ulrich 2011). Also, more recently, Melo et al. (2014) have developed a framework termed treeNODF, an extension of the NODF index (nestedness metric based on overlap and decreasing fill; Almeida-Neto et al. 2008) that measures nestedness while accounting for the functional or phylogenetic diversity of communities (traitNODF and PhyloNODF, respectively). While traitNODF represents a functional nestedness estimate (Melo et al. 2014, Matthews et al. 2015), it only considers binary data. An index using quantitative data provides information on whether the populations of smaller assemblages have lower abundances compared to conspecific populations that occur in species-rich assemblages (Almeida-Neto and Ulrich 2011). Quantitative data may contain more accurate and complex information on the possible mechanisms underpinning community structure (Ulrich and Gotelli 2010). Moreover, only through the use of quantitative data it is possible to link functional nestedness patterns to functional redundancy across reef sites. Here, the concept of functional redundancy takes into account functional groups built from the combination of categorical traits, which are appropriate to highlight differences in the functional structure of assemblages at large spatial scales and encompassing a large number of species (Stuart-Smith et al. 2013, Mouillot et al. 2014, Parravicini et al. 2014). Despite some of these studies have demonstrated functional vulnerability (low functional redundancy) of some reef fish communities (Mouillot et al. 2014, Parravicini et al. 2014), other studies considering different aspects of species’ diet and behavior have also shown low functional redundancy in local communities (Bellwood et al. 2003, Fox and Bellwood 2013, Streit et al. 2015).

To assess the probability that functional and taxonomic nestedness could be obtained by chance, we contrasted the empirical WNODF values with 95% confidence limits of WNODF values obtained from random matrices (1000 random matrices). Random matrices were generated through the quasiswap count algorithm (available in ‘vegan’ R package, ver. 2.3-1; Oksanen et al. 2015). This fixed-fixed algorithm preserves the observed row and column totals in randomized matrices, and then implements swaps in random $2 \times 2$ submatrices (Gotelli 2000). This null model is considered conservative and fulfills required statistical properties (Gotelli 2000, Ulrich and Gotelli 2007).

We calculated the Z-score $Z = (X - \mu_{simul}) / \sigma_{simul}$ where $X$ is the observed WNODF, and $\mu_{simul}$ and $\sigma_{simul}$ are the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of 1000 simulated matrices. Positive Z-scores indicate $X$ higher than expected by chance, whereas negative Z-scores indicate $X$ lower than expected by chance. These steps were repeated for both the functional and the taxonomic structure at both spatial scales (regions and provinces).

Correlates of nestedness
Analyses of the causes of nested patterns were conducted within biogeographic regions (partial correlations) and across regions and provinces (multiple regression analyses). At the biogeographic regional scale, when matrices presented significant nested structures, we measured the influence of site attributes on such patterns through partial correlation analysis. We calculated the site nestedness order using a matrix packing function in ‘bipartite’ R package ver. 2.05 (Dormann et al. 2014). Partial Spearman’s rank order correlations were then conducted among the rank order of sites (increasing order) and site attributes: isolation, coral area, coastal length and distance from the biodiversity centre.
Partial correlations were conducted in R software using the 'ppcor' R package, ver. 1.0 (Seongho 2015). To test our predictions on the relationship between functional and taxonomic nestedness with gradients of isolation and area across sites (Fig. 2), we performed quadratic least square regressions: \( y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 \) where \( y \) corresponds to the observed nestedness at two different scales (regions and provinces), \( X_1 \) is the mean isolation and \( X_2 \) is the mean area across sites (Supplementary material Appendix 4 for model selection). All data analyses were conducted in the software R 2.14.2 (R Core Team).

**Results**

At the biogeographical regional scale, the taxonomic structure of reef fish assemblages was significantly nested in the Tropical Eastern Pacific and the Western Atlantic regions. In the Central Pacific region, assemblages were not nested for their taxonomic structure. On the other hand, reef fish assemblages within five out of the six regions presented higher functional nestedness than expected by chance, i.e. reef fish FGs that occurred in depauperate sites were subsets of species-rich sites (Table 1, Fig. 4). The Western Atlantic and Tropical Eastern Pacific regions exhibited significantly nested taxonomic and functional structures, whereas the Central Pacific, Central Indo-Pacific and Western Indo-Pacific were only functionally nested. On the other hand, fish assemblages of the Eastern Atlantic region were not significantly nested for any diversity component. At the province scale, the taxonomic and functional structures were significantly nested only in three and four out of the 14 provinces respectively, but all taxonomically nested provinces were also functionally nested (Table 1): the Southwestern Atlantic, the Southwestern Pacific and Easter Island provinces.

Isolation exerted an important effect on the level of nestedness observed across reef fish assemblages (Fig. 5). Within regions, isolation was significantly and positively related to the rank order of sites of the Central Pacific, Tropical Eastern Pacific and Western Atlantic regions. In those regions, poorer sites – with a high rank order according to the maximally packed matrix – are more isolated from surrounding reef areas (Fig. 6). For the Western Indian, isolation was negatively related to ranked sites (Fig. 6, pink bars). Area, measured as either coral area (km²) or coastal length (km), was identified as a driver of a nested pattern only for Western Atlantic reef fish assemblages. For the Western Atlantic, coastal length had a significant negative relationship with the rank order of sites, i.e. poorer sites (with a high rank order) have smaller coastal lengths. The distance from the centre of biodiversity is a driver of nestedness across five biogeographic regions. The significant negative relationship between this distance and the rank order of sites implies that the most distant sites are poorer, and represent subsets of assemblages that are closer to the centre of biodiversity. This relationship was identified for the Central Pacific, Tropical Eastern Pacific, Western Atlantic and with a lower value for the Central Indo-Pacific. For the Western Indian, the pattern was the opposite: low ranked sites – with the greater number of FG and species – are farther from the centre of biodiversity.

Across regions, we found a moderate correlation between taxonomic nestedness and isolation (\( p < 0.01, r^2 = 0.43 \)), but no correlation between functional nestedness and isolation (Fig. 3, \( p < 0.55, r^2 = 0.05 \)) (Fig. 5). Area had a positive, but not significant, effect on nested patterns.

Table 1. Quantitative nestedness (WNODF) of taxonomic and functional matrices of reef fish assemblages in six biogeographic regions and its provinces. Obs.: observed WNODF values; 95% CL: WNODF confidence limits from 1000 random matrices obtained from null model ‘quasiswap’ (see Methods). Z-score = \((-X - \mu_{simul})/\sigma_{simul}\), where \( X \) is the observed WNODF, and \( \mu_{simul} \) and \( \sigma_{simul} \) are the mean and standard deviation, respectively, of 1000 simulated matrices. Positive Z-scores indicate higher x than expected by chance, whereas negative Z-scores indicate lower X than expected by chance. All matrices were double sorted according to marginal richness and abundance totals. Regions and provinces with positive Z-scores in bold were significantly nested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Taxonomic WNODF</th>
<th>Functional WNODF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Obs.</td>
<td>Z-score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Atlantic</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Atlantic</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>6.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Islands</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Atlantic</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical Eastern Pacific</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental TEP</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP Islands</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Indo-Pacific</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somali/Arabian</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Indo-Pacific</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Indo-Pacific</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Pacific</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>–5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Pacific</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>–2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynesia</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Pacific</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>–2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nested patterns

Our findings reveal that the functional structure, i.e. the composition and number of species per FG – of depauperate tropical reef fish assemblages represents a nested subset of the structure found in richer assemblages, a pattern found across five biogeographic regions. Nevertheless, the family-level taxonomic structure of most assemblages did not follow a nested pattern. This differs from the homogeneous structure identified for Indo-West Pacific assemblages by Bellwood and Hughes (2001). It is possible that such homogeneous or nested pattern detected at a large spatial scale (i.e. encompassing Indian, Pacific and Tropical Eastern Pacific Oceans) (Bellwood and Hughes 2001) conceals small-scale patterns, which have, for instance, shown differences in the proportion of families in South Pacific reef fish assemblages (Kulbicki and Rivaton 1997, Kulbicki et al. 2004). Studies have highlighted the importance of nestedness for reef fish assemblages across the Indo-Pacific (Bellwood and Hughes 2001, Kulbicki et al. 2013, Mouillot et al. 2013b); yet a turnover component is also present, associated with high levels of endemism or recent cladogenesis (Mouillot et al. 2013b).

Discussion

Figure 4. The nested functional structure of global reef fish assemblages. Maximally packed matrices representing the number of functional groups (rows) across sites in six biogeographic regions: Western Indian (WI, in purple), Central Indo-Pacific (CIP, in red), Central Pacific (CP, orange), Tropical Eastern Pacific (TEP, in yellow), Western Atlantic (WA, in blue) and Eastern Atlantic (EA in light-blue). Color gradients below each matrix denote the species richness of functional groups within each site. Functional redundancy decreases from top to bottom rows, and also from left to right, i.e. from the most species-rich to poorer sites. Indeed, our analyses reveal that the functional structure of poor sites is significantly nested within the structure of richer sites, with the exception of the EA. Note, for instance, that the most redundant FGS vary from over 200 species in the CIP (dark-red), to 40 species in the TEP (dark-yellow). Matrices for the taxonomic structure in Supplementary material Appendix 5.
Filters known to limit the distribution of reef fishes, such as isolation and the distance from the center of biodiversity, may have an effect on both the taxonomic composition and functional structure of assemblages.

Causes of nestedness

Area was expected to be a major driver of nested patterns across global reef fish assemblages, yet it was found to underpin nestedness (functional and taxonomic) only in

Such spatial turnover in species composition was identified at the boundaries of the Indo-Pacific, and could have its origins in the higher endemism of regions such as the eastern Pacific, southern Australia and the Western Indian Ocean province (Kulbicki et al. 2013, Mouillot et al. 2013b, Borsa et al. 2015). The taxonomic turnover across Central Pacific sites (Marquesas, Easter, Hawaii, Polynesia) may account for the non-nested taxonomic pattern identified for this region (here referred to as Central Pacific sensu Kulbicki et al. 2013). The turnover between assemblages could be related to the effects of dispersal limitation and environmental filtering (Svenning et al. 2011, Luiz et al. 2013).
fish faunas of the Western Atlantic. Indeed, coral reefs and reef fishes are closely connected in their evolutionary history (Bellwood and Wainwright 2002, Cowman and Bellwood 2013), with reef systems acting as a centre for survival, as well as diversification, of fish lineages (Cowman and Bellwood 2013). Reef area has been identified as a key habitat attribute structuring reef fish assemblages at global (Parravicini et al. 2013), regional (Bellwood and Hughes 2001, Bender et al. 2013) and local scales (Hubert et al. 2011). In the Atlantic Ocean, reefs have undergone extinction events and experienced severe reductions in shallow-water habitats caused by Pleistocene glaciations (Bellwood and Wainwright 2002, Floeter et al. 2008, Pellissier et al. 2014). The dramatic history of reefs and fishes in the Atlantic (Budd 2000), compared to that of the Indo-Pacific, might explain the role of area in driving a nested pattern across Atlantic reef fish assemblages. The lower availability and connectivity across shallow-water habitats of the Atlantic Ocean (Bellwood and Wainwright 2002, Floeter et al. 2008) may have led to an increased dependence or association of fish assemblages and reef areas, as well as isolated communities over time, favouring nestedness. Furthermore, reef features (e.g. patch size, reef type, reef habitat, coral richness) may act as filters to the functional (Bellwood et al. 2002, MacNeil et al. 2009, Bender et al. 2013) and phylogenetic structure (Hubert et al. 2011, Leprieur et al. 2015) of associated assemblages.

The role of isolation in shaping nested patterns was identified for three biogeographic regions, namely Central Pacific, Tropical Eastern Pacific and Western Atlantic. For the Western Indian assemblages, isolation does not imply a reduced taxonomic and functional diversity. The high degree of functional nestedness identified for the Central Pacific may have been caused by the physical geography of the region, comprising several islands and atolls of various sizes, sufficiently isolated from each other. Contrasting to the Central Pacific region, a slightly lower nestedness value is observed for the Central Indo-Pacific where historical habitat connectivity is high (Cowman and Bellwood 2013) with low isolation among sites. Also, in the Central Indo-Pacific, the greater habitat diversity and lower dispersal constraints compared to the Central Pacific could decrease nestedness. In the Atlantic Ocean, isolation has shaped the structure of reef fish assemblages, acting as a filter to certain reef fish families and species’ functional traits (Bender et al. 2013). Also, isolation had a role in the present-day patterns of reef fish endemism in Atlantic islands, possibly by reducing gene flow between populations (Hachich et al. 2015). ‘Filtering effects’ of isolation may contribute to a nested pattern across Atlantic reef sites. In the Western Indian, high levels of endemism and species richness of the reef fauna in isolated provinces, such as the Western Indian Ocean Islands (WI) and the Southwestern Indian (SWI) (Borsa et al. 2015), have possibly caused the observed effect of isolation on nested patterns.

The relationship between taxonomic nestedness and isolation across regions was similar to the expected trend (Fig. 2), with nestedness values dropping at high isolation (Fig. 5). In contrast, functional nestedness was weakly influenced by isolation when pooling regions and provinces together (see Fig. 2 and 5 for a comparison between predictions and observed data, respectively). We are aware, however, that our conceptual framework did not include predictions for nestedness relative to potential variations in the spatial distribution of patches in relation to other patches, as well as differences in island age. The geographical position, size and age of a patch could possibly affect nestedness among species assemblages through its influence on colonization and/or extinction events (Pellissier et al. 2014). This is an interesting avenue for further research on the assembly of reef fish communities.

The roles of habitat area and isolation on the structure of assemblages are widespread in ecology and biogeography (MacArthur and Wilson 1967, Lomolino 1999) with smaller or isolated sites being subsets of larger and/or less isolated ones (Patterson and Atmar 1986, Lomolino 1999). Insular nestedness would result from processes of extinction and colonization (Patterson and Atmar 1986), mediated by species abilities to interact with isolation and area (Lomolino 1999). Thus, nested patterns are attributable to species traits (Lomolino 1999, Hu et al. 2011, Novak et al. 2011, Sasaki et al. 2012), and how these traits are related to dispersal ability and colonizing capacity (Luiz et al. 2013), vulnerability to extinction and habitat specificity. By looking at functional nestedness, we are ultimately assessing which traits contribute to such pattern. Among species widely distributed across fish assemblages, many are large-bodied piscivores and carnivores (mobile invertebrate feeders) (Supplementary material Appendix 6). For reef fishes, large body size implies greater range sizes, as well as higher potential to expand their ranges across dispersal barriers and to colonize new habitats (Luiz et al. 2012, 2013). On the contrary, FGs such as small piscivores and sessile invertebrate feeders have restricted ranges and also habitat specificity (Hawkins et al. 2000). These contrasting patterns in dispersal abilities and resource requirements – also related to species’ body sizes – could generate both taxonomic and functional nested patterns (Lomolino 1999).

The contrast between highly redundant and low redundant FGs has been highlighted by Mouillot et al. (2014), who found that tropical reef fish assemblages are characterized by functional over-redundancy – over a third of functional groups are represented by a disproportional number of species – as well as by functional vulnerability – another considerable amount of FGs are supported by one species only, and left with no insurance. High functional vulnerability – where there is a high proportion of FGs with no insurance – is found across many assemblages of the Tropical Eastern Pacific, across the Eastern Atlantic and in Atlantic Ocean islands (Parravicini et al. 2014). This study provides new insights by unravelling the level of spatial insurance among assemblages through functional redundancy. We indeed showed that, within biogeographic regions, redundant FGs mostly exhibited a homogeneous distribution, carrying combinations of functional traits such as large body sizes and piscivore diets, small to large-bodies and carnivorous diets (mobile invertebrate feeders). Nevertheless, those FGs supported by few species, and low redundancy, may differ across biogeographic regions. Overall, low functional redundancy appears related to FGs that have a close link to reef habitats (hard substratum): sessile invertebrate feeders (e.g. corals, sponges) (in the Indo-Pacific), macro-algal herbivores, and herbivore-detritivores. In sites where these functions occur,
impacts to reefs may impair the ability of species assemblages to replace a lost function, given the low redundancy of these groups. Finally, the role of isolation in causing nested patterns within regions may indicate that disturbances may have disproportionate effects on the structure and functioning of depauperate and isolated reef ecosystems.
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